

# BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK

# Filippa K AB

PUBLICATION DATE: JULY 2014

this report covers the evaluation period 01-01-2013 to 31-12-2013

#### ABOUT THE BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK

Fair Wear Foundation believes that improving conditions for apparel factory workers requires change at multiple levels. Traditional efforts to improve conditions focus primarily on the factory. FWF, however, believes that the management decisions of the clothing brands have an enormous influence for good or ill on factory conditions.

FWF's Brand Performance Check is a tool to evaluate and report on the activities of FWF's affiliate members. The Checks examine how affiliate management systems support FWF's Code of Labour Practices.

In most apparel supply chains, clothing brands do not own factories, and most factories work for many different brands. This means that in most cases FWF affiliates have influence, but not direct control, over working conditions. As a result, the Brand Performance Checks focus primarily on verifying the efforts of affiliates. Outcomes at the factory level are assessed via audits and complaint reports, however the complexity of the supply chains means that even the best efforts of FWF affiliates cannot guarantee results.

Even if outcomes at the factory level cannot be guaranteed, the importance of good management practices by affiliates cannot be understated. Even one concerned customer at a factory can have significant positive impacts on a range of issues like health and safety conditions or freedom of association. And if one customer at a factory can demonstrate that improvements are possible, other customers no longer have an excuse not to act. The development and sharing of these types of best practices has long been a core part of FWF's work.

Improvement of supply chains is a step-by-step process, through which affiliates must address many different issues. FWF affiliates vary greatly in management structures, and have different strengths. The Performance Benchmarking system is designed to reflect these differences, and the many different ways that a company can support better working conditions.

During the Brand Performance Check, FWF staff speak to various employees at the affiliate who have important roles to play in the management of supply chains. FWF verifies the actions of affiliates based on several sources including documentation of activities, financial records, the affiliate's supplier register and staff interviews. Following the Brand Performance Check, FWF summarizes findings in this report, which is made public via www.fairwear.org. The <u>Brand Performance Check Guide</u> provides more information about the indicators and is available for download.

#### BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK OVERVIEW

Filippa K AB

Evaluation Period: 01-01-2013 to 31-12-2013

AFFILIATE INFORMATION	
Headquarters:	Stockholm, Sweden
Member since:	01-03-2008
Product types:	Fashion
Production in countries where FWF is active:	Bulgaria, China, India, Italy, Lithuania, Portugal, Romania, Turkey, Viet Nam
Production in other countries:	Estonia, Germany, Morocco, Slovakia, Sweden
BASIC REQUIREMENTS	
Workplan for this evaluation period was submitted?	Yes
Actual supplier register for this evaluation period has been submitted?	Yes
Membership fee has been paid?	Yes
All suppliers have been notified of FWF membership?	Yes
SCORING OVERVIEW	
% of own production under monitoring	95%
Benchmarking score	63
Category	Good

#### Summary:

Filippa K meets most of Fair Wear Foundation's management system requirements. The company's sourcing practices provide sufficient means to effectively work on improving labour standards at their suppliers. Two third of Filippa K's purchasing volume in 2013 came from suppliers where they have a long term business relationship with and where the company has substantial leverage to request improvements on working conditions.

A majority of Filippa K's purchasing volume comes from suppliers located in low risk countries. With its auditing and other monitoring efforts, the company has monitored over 95% of their supply chain and therefore meets FWF's monitoring threshold.

Steps can still be made in analysing the root causes of excessive overtime and taking part in research projects to make steps towards implementing living wages. Filippa K must also work on creating more awareness among workers of their rights.

#### PERFORMANCE CATEGORY OVERVIEW

Leader: This category is for affiliates who are doing exceptionally well, and are operating at an advanced level.

Good: It is FWF's belief that affiliates who are making a serious effort to implement the Code of Labour Practices—the vast majority of FWF affiliates—are 'doing good' and deserve to be recognized as such. They are also doing more than the average clothing company, and have allowed their internal processes to be examined and publicly reported on by an independent NGO. The majority of affiliates will receive a 'Good' rating.

Needs Improvement: Affiliates are most likely to find themselves in this category when major unexpected problems have arisen, or if they are unable or unwilling to seriously work towards CoLP implementation. Affiliates may be in this category for one year only after which they should either move up to Good, or will be moved to suspended.

Suspended: Affiliates who either fail to meet one of the Basic Requirements, have had major internal changes which means membership must be put on hold for a maximum of one year, or have been in Needs Improvement for more than one year. Affiliates may remain in this category for one year maximum, after which termination proceedings will come into force.

Categories are calculated based on a combination of benchmarking score and the percentage of own production under monitoring. The specific requirements for each category are outlined in the Brand Performance Check Guide.

## 1. PURCHASING PRACTICES

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.1 Percentage of production volume from suppliers where affiliate buys at least 10% of production capacity	67%	Affiliates with less than 10% of a factories' production capacity generally have limited influence on factory managers to make changes.	Supplier information provided by affiliate.	3	4	0

Comment: 67% of Filippa K's supplier volume is bought from factories where the company has substantial leverage (at least 10% of the factory production capacity). The company's objective is to further deepen the cooperation with selected key suppliers over the years.

1.2 Percentage of production volume from	72%	Stable business relationships support most	Supplier information	3	4	0
suppliers where a business relationship has existed for at least five years		aspects of the Code of Labour Practices, and give factories a reason to invest in improving	provided by affiliate.			
_		working conditions.				

Comment: Filippa K values long term relationships based on close cooperation with its suppliers.

1.3 All new suppliers are required to sign and return the Code of Labour Practices before first orders are placed.	Yes	The CoLP is the foundation of all work between factories and brands, and the first step in developing a commitment to	Signed CoLPs are on file.	2	2	0
		improvements.				

Comment: Signed questionnaires were reviewed on file.

1.4 Company conducts human rights due diligence at all new suppliers before placing orders.	Yes	Due diligence helps to identify, prevent and mitigate potential human rights problems at new suppliers.	Documentation may include pre-audits, existing audits, other types of risk	4	4	0
			assessments.			

Comment: Selecting new suppliers is done by the supply department in the beginning of the design process. Guidelines for supplier relations are set up, with templates being used by product developers and purchasing staff when visiting new suppliers. The guidelines include a FWF assessment, using the health and safety checks developed by FWF, collecting existing audit reports and researching other clients. Filippa K makes use of FWF country information produced by local stakeholders.

Practices is evaluated in a systematic manner.	e into normal business upports good decisionmaking.  Documentation of systemic approach: rating systems, checklists, databases, etc.	2 0
--	--	-----

Recommendation: FWF suggests to define clear steps in the evaluation method to incorporate social compliance information into sourcing and monitoring decisions. In other words, decide on how to track progress and define what happens if a supplier is under-performing or performing exceptionally well. How does that impact the sourcing decisions?

1.6 The affiliate's production planning systems support reasonable working hours.	Strong, integrated systems in place.	Affiliate production planning systems can have a significant impact on the levels of excessive overtime at factories.	Documentation of robust planning systems.	4	4	0	
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--

Comment: Production time plans and deadlines are set in cooperation with suppliers. Estimate deadlines are given in an early stage on which suppliers give feedback about the dates the fabric needs to be in and how much time is needed for production. Filippa K indicates they need to trust their suppliers to make a realistic planning based on regular working hours. The company aims to spread their production better and work with carry-over collections that are produced over three seasons. Filippa K makes use of block orders with never out of stock items to reserve capacity and to avoid most of the immediate pressure for deliveries. Production for own retail can be better controlled: Filippa K can place orders in advance on estimated sales figures. In some cases the company has direct contact with the mills to facilitate the fabric planning.

	Intermediate efforts	Some production delays are outside of the control of affiliates; however there are a number of steps that can be taken to address production delays without resorting to excessive overtime.	Documentation of root cause analysis and positive steps taken to manage production delays or improve factory processes.	3	6	0	
--	-------------------------	--	---	---	---	---	--

Recommendation: Filippa K could discuss with factory management on the causes of excessive overtime and provide support to manage overtime. If necessary, the affiliate could hire local experts to analyse root cause of excessive overtime in cooperation with the supplier. FWF could recommend qualified persons upon request.

Comment: Despite a robust production planning system, Filippa K still experiences delays. The company has several ways to deal with that and to improve: designers, buyers and logistical department work closely together to measure delays and estimate quantities. In addition they can block production to take an early order, spread different styles and work on their warehouse capacity. During three audits conducted by FWF teams in China and one in Turkey excessive overtime was found. Two other audits in India and Morocco showed the suppliers did not have transparent records or did not separate regular hours from overtime hours.

1.8 Affiliate's pricing policy allows for payment of at least the legal minimum wages in production countries.	of minimum wages - and towards implementation of living wages - is to know	Formal systems to calculate labour costs on per-product or country/city level.	2	4	0	
--	--	--	---	---	---	--

Recommendation: Filippa K can start by working on transparent prices with all suppliers to get a better insight in the cost of labour and the share that goes to workers. Filippa K needs to develop a pricing policy where they know the labour cost of garments and which allows the payment of at least legal minimum wages in production countries.

Comment: Country level minimum wages are known. Filippa K does not know the exact cost of labour.

1.9 Affiliate actively responds if suppliers fail to pay legal minimum wages.	No minimum wage problems reported	If a supplier fails to pay minimum wage, FWF affiliates are expected to hold management of the supplier accountable for respecting local labour law.	Complaint reports, CAPs, additional emails, FWF audit reports or other documents that show minimum wage issue is reported/resolved.	2	2	-2
1.10 Evidence of late payments to suppliers by affiliate.	No	Late payments to suppliers can have a negative impact on factories and their ability to pay workers on time. Most garment workers have minimal savings, and even a brief delay in payments can cause serious problems.	Based on a complaint or audit report; review of factory and affiliate financial documents.	0	0	-1
1.11 Degree to which affiliate assesses root causes of wages lower than living wages with suppliers and takes steps towards the implementation of living wages.	Basic approach	Sustained progress towards living wages requires adjustments to affiliates' policies.	Documentation of policy assessments and/or concrete progress towards living wages.	2	8	0

Recommendation: Filippa K is to assess the hypothetical cost effects of increasing wages towards benchmarks that are included in the wage ladder.

Comment: Filippa K uses the Wage Ladder tool to discuss wage levels with suppliers. The company is working on a '3D model' of calculating social, environmental and economical costs. The goal is to add this in the company's financial accounts.

1.12 Affiliate sources from an FWF factory member.	No	When possible, FWF encourages affiliates to source from FWF factory members. On account of the small number of factories this is a 'bonus' indicator. Extra points are possible, but the indicator will not negatively affect an	Supplier information provided by affiliate.	N/A	1	0
		affiliate's score.				

1.13 Percentage of production volume from factories owned by the affiliate.	None	Owning a supplier increases the accountability and reduces the risk of unexpected CoLP violations. Given these advantages, this is a bonus indicator. Extra points are possible, but the indicator will not negatively affect an affiliate's score.	Supplier information provided by affiliate.	N/A	2	0	
---	------	---	---	-----	---	---	--

# PURCHASING PRACTICES

Possible Points: 40

Earned Points: 26

## 2. MONITORING AND REMEDIATION

BASIC MEASUREMENTS	RESULT	COMMENTS
% of own production under standard monitoring (excluding low-risk countries)	40%	
% of own production in low risk production countries where FWF's Low Risk policy has been implemented	55%	FWF low risk policy should be implemented. 0 = policy is not implemented correctly. N/A = no production in low risk countries.
Total of own production under monitoring	95%	Minimums: 1 year: 40%; 2 years 60%; 3 years+: 90% Measured as a percentage of turnover.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.1 Specific staff person is designated to follow up on problems identified by monitoring system	Yes	Followup is a serious part of FWF membership, and cannot be successfully managed on an ad-hoc basis.	Manuals, emails, etc., demonstrating who the designated staff person is.	2	2	-2

Comment: All buyers/product developers in direct contact with suppliers are responsible for the follow up of audits in cooperation with the CSR manager.

conditions. taken by affiliate.	2.2 Degree of progress towards resolution of existing Corrective Action Plans	Intermediate	FWF considers efforts to resolve CAPs to be one of the most important things that affiliates can do towards improving working conditions.	Documentation of remediation and followup actions taken by affiliate.	4	8	-2
---------------------------------	---	--------------	---	---	---	---	----

Recommendation: To facilitate remediation, Filippa K could consider:

- Hire a local consultant to assist factory in developing an action plan and to assist factory management in investigating root causes of overtime and wages.
- Organise supplier seminars.
- Provide factory training.
- Share knowledge/material.
- providing financial support to the supplier for implementing improvements

Comment: Considerable efforts were made to resolve corrective actions from audits. Every buyer who is in constant communication with suppliers structurally discusses the status of findings. An audit to verify improvements in China showed the factory has enacted many policies as recommended by the audit team and a few health and safety issues improvements were realised. Outstanding issues are around the payment of leave and the compensation for working on holidays. Another audit in China showed overtime was not paid correctly, which can be a start for Filippa K to work on increasing wages.

An audit in Turkey indicated the factory enforced restrictions on freedom of association. After numerous conversations and efforts to change the management's attitude, Filippa K found this a serious reason to stop working with this supplier. While giving a clear signal that dismissing workers who were unionised and setting up a 'yellow union' supported by management are serious violations of freedom of association, Filippa K gradually phased out production.

The audit in India showed workers were not aware of their rights, particularly since factory policies were not communicated and there was not worker representative. Workers also did not have a contract or personnel file.

2.3 Percentage of production volume from suppliers that have been visited by the affiliate in the past financial year	69%	Formal audits should be augmented by annual visits by affiliate staff or local representatives. They reinforce to factory managers that affiliates are serious about implementing the Code of Labour Practices.	Affiliates should document all factory visits with at least the date and name of the visitor.	3	4	0	
---	-----	---	---	---	---	---	--

Comment: Suppliers are visited often by buyers; during visits the labour standards and status of corrective actions are discussed.

2.4 Existing audit reports from other sources are collected.	Yes and quality assessed	Existing reports form a basis for understanding the issues and strengths of a supplier, and reduces duplicative work.	Audit reports are on file; evidence of followup on prior CAPs. Reports of quality assessments.	2	3	0	
--	--------------------------------	---	--	---	---	---	--

2.5 Audit Report and Corrective Action Plan (CAP) findings are shared with factory. Improvement timelines are established in a timely manner	Yes	FWF audit reports should be shared and discussed with suppliers within two months of audit receipt. Timely sharing of information and agreement on corrective actions is essential for improvement. A reasonable time frame should be specified for resolving findings.	Corrective Action Plans, emails; findings of followup audits; brand representative present during audit exit meeting, etc.	2	2	-1
2.6 High risk issues specific to the affiliate's supply chain are identified and addressed by the monitoring system.	Intermediate Capacity	Different countries and products have different risks associated with them; monitoring systems should be adapated to allow appropriate human rights due diligence for the specific risks in each affiliates' supply chain.	Documentation may take many forms; additional research, specific FWF project participation; extra monitoring activities, extra mitigation activities, etc.	3	6	0

Comment: The monitoring system of Filippa K addresses high risk issues in the supply chain. Buyer of each product group are well aware of the risks in specific countries and if issues occur at one factory, they evaluate whether this is relevant for other suppliers and whether purchasing decisions need to be adjusted. The freedom of association case at the supplier in Turkey showed the company takes such a violation seriously. Gender and discrimination issues in India are identified but not yet systematically worked on through training.

2.7 Affiliate cooperates with other customers in resolving corrective actions at shared suppliers	Active cooperation	Cooperation between customers increases leverage and chances of successful outcomes. Cooperation also reduces the changes of a factory having to conduct multiple Corrective Action Plans about the same issue with multiple customers.	Shared CAPs, evidence of cooperation with other customers.	2	2	-1	
---	-----------------------	---	--	---	---	----	--

Comment: Filippa K actively cooperates with other FWF affiliates.

2.8 Monitoring requirements are fulfilled for production in low-risk countries	Yes	Low risk countries are determined by the presence and proper functioning of institutions which can guarantee compliance with basic standards.	Documentation of visits, notification of suppliers of FWF membership; posting of worker information sheets, completed questionnaires.	2	2	0
--	-----	---	---	---	---	---

Comment: Suppliers in low risk countries are frequently visited. Assessing health and safety issues is done by using the guidelines and it is checked whether the CoLP is posted. Filippa K has also looked at the risk assessment for Italy published by FWF.

2.9 External brands resold by the affiliate who have completed and returned the external brand questionnaire. (% of external sales volume)	No external brands resold	FWF believes it is important for affiliates that have a retail/wholesale arm to at least know if the brands they resell are members of FWF or a similar organisation, and in which countries those brands produce goods.	Questionnaires are on file.	N/A	3	0
2.10 External brands resold by affiliates that are members of another credible initiative. (% of external sales volume)	No external brands resold	FWF believes affiliates who resell products should be rewarded for choosing to stock external brands who also take their supply chain responsibilities seriously.	Supplier register; Documentation of sales volumes of products made by FWF or FLA members.	N/A	3	0

## MONITORING AND REMEDIATION

Possible Points: 29 Earned Points: 20

## 3. COMPLAINTS HANDLING

BASIC MEASUREMENTS	RESULT	COMMENTS
Number of worker complaints received since last check	0	At this point, FWF considers a high number of complaints as a positive indicator, as it shows that workers are aware of and making use of the complaints system.
Number of worker complaints in process of being resolved		
Number of worker complaints resolved since last check	-2	

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.1 A specific employee has been designated to address worker complaints	Yes	Followup is a serious part of FWF membership, and cannot be successfully managed on an ad-hoc basis.	Manuals, emails, etc., demonstrating who the designated staff person is.	1	1	-1
3.2 System exists to check that the Worker Information Sheet is posted in factories	Yes	The Worker Information Sheet is a key first step in alerting workers to their rights.	Photos by company staff, audit reports, checklists from factory visits, etc.	2	2	0

Comment: It is checked by means of a visit if the CoLP is posted.

3.3 Percentage of FWF-audited factories where at least half of workers are aware of the FWF worker helpline.	0%	The FWF complaints procedure is a crucial element of verification. If factory-based complaint systems do not exist or do not work, the FWF worker helpline allows workers to ask questions about their rights and file complaints. Factory participation in the Workplace Education Programme also count towards this indicator.	Percentage of audited factories where at least 50% of interviewed workers indicate awareness of the FWF complaints mechanism + percentage of factories in WEP programme.	-2	4	-2
--	----	--	--	----	---	----

Recommendation: Filippa K can stimulate its suppliers to participate in WEP trainings, to raise awareness about the existence and the functioning of FWF's worker hotline. In addition to sending the worker information sheet, affiliates can use the worker information cards available for download on FWF's website to distribute when visiting suppliers.

Comment: All 6 audits conducted by FWF show workers are not aware of the FWF CoLP.

3.4 All complaints received from factory workers are addressed in accordance with the FWF Complaints Procedure	No complaints received	Providing access to remedy when problems arise is a key element of responsible supply chain management. Affiliate involvement is often essential to resolving issues.	Documentation that affiliate has completed all required steps in the complaints handling process.	N/A	6	-2
3.5 Cooperation with other customers in addressing worker complaints at shared suppliers	No complaints or cooperation not possible / necessary.	Because most factories supply several customers with products, involvement of other customers by the FWF affiliate can be critical in resolving a complaint at a supplier.	Documentation of joint efforts, e.g. emails, sharing of complaint data, etc.	N/A	2	-2

## **COMPLAINTS HANDLING**

Possible Points: 7

Earned Points: 1

#### 4. TRAINING AND CAPACITY BUILDING

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.1 All staff is made aware of FWF membership requirements	Yes	Preventing and remediating problems often requires the involvement of many different departments; making all staff aware of FWF membership requirements helps to support cross-departmental collaboration when needed.	Emails, trainings, presentation, newsletters, etc.	1	1	-1

Comment: Staff at Filippa K is informed of FWF membership requirements. The company has regular supply meetings with different departments. Filippa K distributes CSR information to all employees around Europe including store employees. Twice a year it organizes retail education: talking to stores about the collection, including social, sustainability and fwf membership. New employees are trained on the FWF membership requirements.

4.2 Ongoing training in support of FWF requirements is provided to staff in direct contact with suppliers.	Yes	Sourcing, purchasing and CSR staff at a minimum should possess the knowledge necessary to implement FWF requirements and advocate for change within their organisations.	FWF Seminars or equivalent trainings provided; presentations, curricula, etc.	2	2	0	
--	-----	--	---	---	---	---	--

Comment: Staff of Filippa K participated in a MadeBy workshop on environmental aspects. A training was also given by the Sustainable Fashion Academy for buyer and sales staff: it covered responsible sourcing, communicating about CSR and design issues. Staff of Filippa K also participated in the FWF seminar.

4.3 All sourcing contractors/agents are informed about FWF's Code of Labour Practices.	Yes + actively support COLP	Agents have the potential to either support or disrupt CoLP implementation. It is the responsibility of affiliate to ensure agents actively support the implementation of the CoLP.	Correspondence with agents, trainings for agents, FWF audit findings.	2	2	-2	
--	-----------------------------------	---	---	---	---	----	--

Comment: Filippa K has been actively working on having their agents support the implementation of the CoLP and on ensuring the Filippa K values are translated to their suppliers. Agents support the monitoring efforts by providing follow up.

4.4 Factory participation in Workplace	35%	Lack of knowledge and skills on best practices	Documentation of 4	6	0	
Education Programme (where WEP is offered;		related to labour standards is acommon issue	relevant trainings;			
by production volume)		in factories. Good quality training of workers	participation in			
		and managers is a key step towards	Workplace Education			
		sustainable improvements.	Programme.			

Comment: One supplier in China has participated in FWF's Workplace Education Programme in March 2013. This supplier accounts for 35% of the 2013 buying volume in China, India and Turkey. The feedback from Filippa K is that the training was useful, supportive for management, but that change is slow, requiring long term efforts.

4.5 Factory participation in trainings (where WEP is not offered; by production volume)	0%	In areas where the Workplace Education Programme is not yet offered, affiliates may arrange trainings on their own or work with other training-partners. Trainings must meet FWF quality standards to receive credit for this indicator.	Curricula, other documentation of training content, participation and outcomes.	0	4	0
---	----	--	---	---	---	---

Recommendation: All factory workers should be informed about the labour standards and the process of monitoring and remediation. In order to further communication between employers and workers in the workplace FWF recommends affiliates to ensure suppliers participate in trainings, also in countries were trainings are not offered by FWF, for instance in Romania and Vietnam. Trainings must meet FWF quality standards to receive credit for this indicator: top management, supervisors and workers should be included in the trainings, separately. Workplace standards and dispute handling should be included in the training. At least 10-20% of the workforce must be trained, depending on the size of the factory. Worker participations should be balanced and representative.

## TRAINING AND CAPACITY BUILDING

Possible Points: 15

Earned Points: 9

#### 5. INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
5.1 Level of effort to identify all production locations and update supplier information.	Intermediate	Any improvements to supply chains require affiliates to first know all of their suppliers and production locations.	Supplier information provided by affiliate. Financial records of previous financial year. Documented efforts by affiliate to update supplier information from its monitoring activities.	3	6	-2

Recommendation: It is advised to develop a systematic approach to complete the supplier list. Part of the approach can be:

- 1) automatically include information from audit reports and complaints
- 2) Business relationships with agents include transparency of production locations.
- 3) Agreements with factories on the use of subcontractors stating clearly that when subcontractors are used, they are included in the monitoring system and information is shared on the subcontracted production process. By suppliers and subcontractors, FWF means all locations that in involved in turning fabric into garments including; sewing, embroidery, screenprinting, ironing, marking, packing.

5.2 A system exists to allow purchasing, CSR and other relevant staff to share information with each other about working conditions at suppliers	Yes	CSR, purchasing and other staff who interact with suppliers need to be able to share information in order to establish a coherent and effective strategy for improvements.	Internal information system; status CAPs, reports of meetings of purchasing/CSR; systematic way of storing information.	1	1	-1
--	-----	--	---	---	---	----

Comment: Filippa K holds regular meetings with all production staff. Production staff is divided per product group and are all responsible for implementing the Code of Labour Practices. The one who is placing orders is also the one following up on corrective action plans. The Social Audit Group consists of production staff and CSR manager in order to structurally integrated sourcing decisions with CSR goals and objectives.

## INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

Possible Points: 7
Earned Points: 4

#### 6. TRANSPARENCY

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
6.1 Communication about FWF membership adheres to the FWF communications policy	Yes	FWF membership should be communicated in a clear and accurate manner. FWF guidelines are designed to prevent misleading claims.	Logo is placed on website; other communications in line with policy. Affiliates may lose points if there is evidence that they did not comply with the communications policy.	1	1	-2

Recommendation: Filippa K is asked to add the black/white version of FWF's logo on the website.

Comment: FWF membership is communicated in correct wording on the company website.

6.2 Affiliate engages in advanced reporting activities	No	Good reporting by members helps to ensure the transparency of FWF's work and shares best practices with the industry.	Affiliate publishes one or more of the following on their website: Brand Performance Check, Audit Reports, Supplier List.	0	1	0
--	----	---	---	---	---	---

Recommendation: FWF recommends Filippa K to publish one or more of the following reports on its website: brand performance check, audit reports, supplier information. Good reporting by members helps to ensure the transparency of the affiliate and FWF's work. This will support the company's goal to be fully transparent of their supply chain.

6.3 Social Report is submitted to FWF and is published on affiliate's website	Complete report submitted to FWF	The Social Report is an important tool for brands to transparently share their efforts with stakeholders.	Report adheres to FWF guidelines for Social Report content.	1	2	-2	
---	---	---	---	---	---	----	--

# TRANSPARENCY

Possible Points: 4

Earned Points: 2

#### 7. EVALUATION

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
7.1 Systemic annual evaluation of FWF membership is conducted with involvement of top management	Yes	An annual evaluation involving top management ensures that FWF policies are integrated into the structure of the company.	Meeting minutes, verbal reporting, Powerpoints, etc.	2	2	0

Comment: FWF membership is regularly evaluated by the social audit group; particularly when writing the workplan and evaluating the performance check report. Feedback from agents regarding the progress of suppliers is integrated.

7.2 Percentage of required changes from previous Brand Performance Check implemented by affiliate	In each Brand Performance Check report, FWF may include requirements for changes to management practices. Adherence to these requirements is an important part of FWF membership.	Affiliate should show documentation related to the specific requirements made in the previous Brand Performance Check.	8	-4	
---	---	--	---	----	--

Comment: Last year's performance check included one requirement: organize factory trainings to increase awareness among workers and managers on labour rights. In 2013, Filippa K ensured one important supplier took part in FWF's Workplace Education Programme. The company is in process of convincing more suppliers to join. For that reason, it was decided to award half of the points for following up on this requirement.

# **EVALUATION**

Possible Points: 10

Earned Points: 8

#### RECOMMENDATIONS TO FWF

Filippa K suggests FWF to develop clear guidelines for subcontractor requirements. If FWF decides to expand monitoring requirements to subcontractor level it should clearly define the steps and prepare member companies about enlarging our scope. FWF could communicate more about what makes us the highest standard initiative and what companies do. The new performance check reports support that. Filippa K is still in favour of having a common open supplier database.

Moreover, Filippa K experiences more improvements when factories also take ownership. It would therefore support more information for suppliers.

Last, Filippa K would appreciate more material in Swedish.

## SCORING OVERVIEW

CATEGORY	EARNED	POSSIBLE
Purchasing Practices	26	40
Monitoring and Remediation	20	29
Complaints Handling	1	7
Training and Capacity Building	9	15
Information Management	4	7
Transparency	2	4
Evaluation	8	10
Totals:	70	112

#### BENCHMARKING SCORE (EARNED POINTS + POSSIBLE POINTS)

63

## PERFORMANCE BENCHMARKING CATEGORY

Good

#### BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK DETAILS

#### Date of Brand Performance Check:

08-05-2014

Conducted by:

Annabel Meurs

#### Interviews with:

Elin Larsson (Corporate Responsibility manager)
Hedwig Kajblad (product developer)
Anna-Karin Bons (product developer)
Hedwig Kajblad (product developer)
Christina Muljadi (product developer)
Tomas Framberg (supply chain manager)
Ellen Dixdotter (PR & Marketing manager)

#### Audit Summary:

Publication of the audit summary section previously included in Brand Performance Checks has been suspended while Fair Wear Foundation develops a new information system to manage and summarize the data. Future Brand Performance Checks will include improved usability and transparency for audit data.